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ABSTRACT:

The present scenario is based on internet technologies we are having a huge amount of useful Information which is usually having on the web databases but in not retaive effectively at the time of users needed. Information retrieval is major criteria for the people However it is indeed on WDBs. So. The Web has become the accessible media for many database applications, such as e-commerce and search medias. These applications store information in huge databases that user’s access, query, and update through the Web. Web sites have their own interfaces and access forms for creating HTML pages on the fly. Web database technologies define the way that these forms can connect to and retrieve data from database servers.

In this paper we present a novel approach for annotating web search on the search engines like MSN. It automatically searches data using cluster techniques and present classify the retrieved data.

Index Terms: Data alignment, data annotation, web database, wrapper generation.

I INTRODUCTION:

The Web has become the preferred medium for many database applications, such as e-commerce and digital libraries. These applications store information in huge databases that user’s access, query, and update through the Web. So we are using clustering technique’s Clustering can be considered the most important[1] unsupervised learning technique; so, as every other problem of this kind, it deals with finding a structure in a collection of unlabeled data Clustering is “the process of organizing objects into groups whose members are similar in some way”. A cluster is therefore a collection of objects which are “similar” between them and are “dissimilar” to the objects belonging to other clusters. However present techniques are not satisfied on web searches[2].From [3]-[7] we are concentrated on Data mining Information retrieval, text mining, Web analysis but there technique’s or not satisfied huge details. Then[8],[9],[10] Distance-based, Hierarchical, Partitioning. So we introduced Web annotating Searching

II RELATED WORKS:

Extracting information from web and annotating earch results for further processing has been around for some years. This is because there is an important utility in the real world when search results are annotated. Many existing systemsthat came into existence have manual system for annotating search results. For instance in [2] and [3], human users are involved for marking the annotations. These systems are manual and they are not scalable. However, they achieved high rate of accuracy. Their problemis that they are not scalable and thus can’t be used in real world applications [4],[5]. Spatial locality and presentation styles are used in [6] for annotations. However, the process of annotations in this approach is dependent on domains.

Ontologism were used in [7] where labeling documents was done based on certain heuristics. Many prior works focused on constructions of wrappers. However, those wrappers could only extract databut not annotations. Many other researches came into existence that focuse on automatic allocation of labels to searchresults [8], [9], and [10]. Afterwards, Lu et al. used the features together besides ontologv in order to align data. Clustering based scripting algorithm is also used to achieve this. The work in [1] and that in [8] are similar. Both approaches make use of HTML tags for processing and handle all kinds of relationships. However, their approach is different for annotating search results. An annotation wrapper was constructed that can describe rules for assigning labels to search results. Crawling deep web is one of the applications of the annotations. ViNTs [15] was used to obtain records from search results. The previous paper [16] is the basis for the work done by Lu et al. [1].

III PROBLEM STATEMENT:

Dissimilarity/Similarity metric: Similarity is expressed in terms of a distance function, which is typically metric: \( d(i, j) \) There is a separate “quality” function that measures the “goodness” of a cluster.
The definitions of distance functions are usually very different for interval-scaled, boolean, categorical, ordinal and ratio-scaled variables. Weights should be associated with different variables based on applications and data semantics. It is hard to define "similar enough" or "good enough".

Distance-based methodology:

Hierarchical clustering

Given a set of N items to be clustered, and an N*N distance (or similarity) matrix, the basic process of hierarchical clustering (defined by S.C. Johnson in 1967) is this:

1. Start by assigning each item to a cluster, so that if you have N items, you now have N clusters, each containing just one item. Let the distances (similarities) between the clusters be the same as the distances (similarities) between the items they contain.
2. Find the closest (most similar) pair of clusters and merge them into a single cluster, so that now you have one cluster less. Compute distances (similarities) between the new cluster and each of the old clusters. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until all items are clustered into K number of clusters.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM FOR ANNOTATING SEARCH RESULTS

In this paper, we take the concepts for annotating search results from [1]. Reader can get more basic information from [1]. However, in this section, we provide the implementation details of our application and algorithm for automatic annotation of search results. As described in [1], our approach also has three phases in the application. The three phases and their functionality are providing in the schematic representation, it is evident that the web documents which are search results (taken from Google) are given as input to the system. Then the search results are processed in the first phase known as alignment to divide the data into groups and then annotation takes place in the second phase while the third phase focuses on annotation wrappers that provide final annotated web pages. Two kinds of annotators are applied in the proposed prototype application. They are Table Annotator (TA) and Query Based Annotator (QA).

Table Annotator

Many search engines present some data in tabular format. It does mean the search results are presented in tabular format. The data in tabular format can help users to understand it by a glance. The table annotator identifies column headers in the table. Afterwards, the data items are processed. The maximum vertical overlap in a column is identified and then the header text is used for labeling.

Query-Based Annotator

This annotator takes the idea that the search results of a query are related to that query. Name of the search field title is used to annotate. A query with multiple query terms that are pertaining to specific attributes returns records that satisfy the search results. The search results do not have all the attributes that are present in database. For this reason query-based annotator is useful in this context.

Data Alignment Algorithm

The algorithm for data alignment [1] assumes that the attributes of the data are in some specific order for all the rows. The assumptions make the algorithm work in that fashion. Generally, this assumption is true for many search result that are presented in tabular format. The algorithm that is meant for data alignment.

\[
Z = \left\{ x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \right\}
\]
In this format we analyzed and W is a normalized contiguity matrix and get the probability of chances.

V EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
We have made experiments data from various domains with respect to two annotators only. The annotators used include table annotator and query-based annotator. Both the annotators are supported by the prototype application audit is extensible so as to support more annotators in future. The performance of data alignment and annotation are
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VI. CONCLUSION
In this paper we focused on the problem of annotating search results. The search results of search engines form web databases which can be used for further processing in order to leverage them in various applications like content-comparison, data extraction and so on. We built a prototype application that facilitates users to give a query, and then the query is programmatically submitted to Google. The results of Google are used in the application for further processing. As explored in Figure 1, the three phases are carried out. The phases are alignment phase, annotation phase and wrapper generation phase. After completion of these phases, the application visualizes results which are nothing but the annotated documents. HTML tags are used to process the pages while annotating them. The annotated results are further useful in real-world applications. The empirical results revealed that our application is effective.
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