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Abstract: Web database technologies define the way that these forms connect to and retrieve data from database servers. Now a day’s countless databases have become web accessible through HTML form-based search interfaces. A typical result page returned from a WDB has multiple search result records (SRRs). Web search engines are designed to search information in the web database and to return dynamic web pages. Internet presents a huge amount of useful information which is usually formatted for its users, which makes it difficult to extract relevant data from various sources. Web pages are retrieved when a query is submitted to the search interface. Each web page contains several search result records related to user query. Every SRR contains multiple data units each of which describes one aspect of a real-world entity. Then SRR gets extracted and assigned meaningful labels. The Web has become the preferred medium for many database applications, such as e-commerce and digital libraries. After the successful extraction align the data units into different groups where, data inside the same group have the same semantic(meaning). Then automatically annotation wrapper can generated and used to annotate new result records from the same web database.
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Introduction

Databases are the integral part of any Business organization. And every Business Organization tries to satisfies their customer by providing accurate and timely information. Web is a good way of presenting information. Efficiency of searching and updating information increases by Alignment and annotation of data. Data alignment is aligning the data or arranging the data in such a way that data inside the same group have the same meaning and accessing in computer memory. Data annotation is the methodology for adding information to a document, a word or phrase, paragraph or the entire document. Data annotation enables fast retrieval of information in the deep web. Data units comes from the web database consists of several search result records (SRR’s). A data unit is a part of text that semantically represents real world entity concepts. Dynamically for human browsing these data units are encoded into the result page and assigned meaningful labels. Annotate the data units requires lots of human efforts. Thus, lack in scalability. To overcome this, automatic assigning of data units within the SRRs is required. An automatic annotation approach that first arrange all data into different groups i.e. inside the same group have same semantic. Then each group is annotated in different aspects and aggregated to predict a final label. Finally, wrapper is generated. This automatic annotation approach is scalable and highly effective [1].

The number of database-driven Websites is increasing exponentially, and each site is creating pages dynamically pages that are hard for traditional search engines to reach. Such search engines crawl and index static HTML pages, they do not send queries to Web databases. The encoded data units to be machine process able, which is essential for many applications such as deep web data collection and Internet comparison shopping, they need to be extracted out and assigned meaningful labels.

The unstable development and fame of the World Wide Web has brought about a colossal measure of data sources on the Internet. Notwithstanding, because of the heterogeneity and the absence of structure of Web data sources, access to this immense gathering of data has been restricted to perusing and looking. Refined Web mining applications, for example, examination shopping robots, require extravagant support to manage diverse information groups. To robotize the interpretation of data pages into organized information, a ton of deliberations have been dedicated in the region of data extraction (IE). Dissimilar to data recovery (IR), which concerns how to recognize pertinent records from a record gathering, IE creates organized information prepared for post handling, which is vital to numerous applications of Web mining and looking apparatuses.

An expansive segment of the profound web is database based, i.e., for some web indexes,
information encoded in the returned result pages originate from the underlying organized databases. Such kind of web crawlers is regularly alluded as Web databases (WDB). An average result page came back from a WDB has numerous SearchResultRecords (SRRs). Every SRR contains different information unit pursuit of which depicts one part of a certifiable substance. In this paper, an information unit is a bit of content that semantically speaks to one idea of a substance. It relates to the estimation of a record under a property. It is not the same as a content hub which alludes to a grouping of content encompassed by a couple of HTML labels. In this paper, we perform information unit level annotation there is a popularity for gathering information of enthusiasm from numerous Wdbs. Case in point, once a book correlation shopping framework gathers different result records from diverse book destinations, it needs to figure out if any two Srrs allude to the same book[2].

We propose a clustering-based shifting technique to align data units into different groups so that the data units inside the same group have the same semantic. Instead of using only the DOM tree or other HTML tag tree structures of the SRRs to align the data units (like most current methods do), our approach also considers other important features shared among data units, such as their data types (DT), data contents (DC), presentation styles (PS), and adjacency (AD) information.

IMPLEMENTATION
Our automatic annotation solution consists of three Stages as
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fig: Automatic annotation solution Stages.

Stage 1 is the alignment Stage. In this stage, we first identify all data units in the search records and then organize them into different groups with each group corresponding to a different concept the result of this Stage with each column containing data units of the same concept across all search records. Grouping data units of the same meaning can help identify the common patterns and features among these data units. These common features are the basis of our annotators.

Stage 2 is the annotation Stage we present numerous fundamental annotators with each one abusing one kind of features. Each essential annotator is utilized to create a mark for the units inside their gathering comprehensively, and a likelihood model is embraced to focus the most proper name for each one gathering

Stage 3 is the annotation wrapper generation, in this Stage we create an annotation decide that depicts how to concentrate the information units of this idea in the result page and what the fitting significance annotation ought to be. The principles for all adjusted gatherings, altogether, structure the annotation wrapper for the comparing WDB, which could be utilized to straightforwardly dole out name the information recovered from the same WDB in light of new inquiries without the need to perform the above tow Stages once more. As being what is indicated, annotation wrappers can perform annotation rapidly, which is fundamental for online applications.[2]

Alignment Algorithm
Our information arrangement calculation is focused around the supposition that traits show up in the same request over all SRRs on the same result page, in spite of the fact that the SRRs may contain diverse sets of credits (because of missing qualities). This is genuine when all is said in done on the grounds that the SRRs from the same WDB are typically produced by the same layout program. Accordingly, we can thoughtfully consider the SRRs on a result page in a table configuration where each one column speaks to one SRR and each one cell holds an information unit (or unfilled if the information unit is not accessible). Each one table section, in our work, is alluded to as an arrangement gathering, containing at most one information unit from every SRR. If an alignment group contains all the data units of one concept and no data unit from other concepts, we call this group well-aligned. The goal of alignment is to move the data units in the table so that every alignment group is well aligned, while the order of the data units within every SRR is preserved. Our data alignment method consists of the following four steps. The detail of each step will be provided later[2].

**Alignment algorithm has following four steps.**

**Step 1:** Merge text nodes: This step detects and removes decorative tags from each SRR to allow the text nodes corresponding to the same attribute
merge into a single one. Step 2: Align text nodes: After the merging aligns text nodes into different groups. So that same group has the same concepts.
Step 3: Split text nodes: In this step split the composite text nodes into separate data unit. Step 4: Align data units: This is the last step for alignment in which separates each composite group into multiple aligned groups with each containing the data units of the same concept.

The automatic annotation approach considers several types of data unit and text node features and makes annotation scalable and automatic. Basically three phases used for automatic annotation in which aligns the data units into different groups, labels each group and construct an annotation wrapper. In this work not all data units are encoded with the meaningful labels. Another calculation for information annotation in the web database would be proposed. The proposed procedure would be implemented with the expected results by using knowledge database as a database.
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CONCLUSION
In this paper, we automatically constructing an annotation wrapper for annotating the search result records retrieved from any given web databaseBasically three phases used for automatic annotation in which aligns the data units into different groups, labels each group and construct an annotation wrapper. In this work not all data units are encoded with the meaningful labels. A new algorithm for data annotation in the web database would be proposed. The proposed technique would be implemented with the expected results by using knowledge database as a database.